

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,926	08/31/2001	George Malcolm Swift Joynes	3036/50371	8942
75	90 03/04/2004		EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING, L.L.P.			JACKSON, ANDRE K	
P.O. Box 14300 Washington, D			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2856	
	•		DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			lm_				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/942,926	JOYNES, GEORGE SWIFT	MALCOLM				
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	André K. Jackson	2856					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 12 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The apprount of the fee.	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension				
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of to (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officationally filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Countries in the contract of the co	ce later than three months after the mail						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	s.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	Γ place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-10,13 and 15-17</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b)□ disapproved by t	he Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·					
10. Other:							
•							

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has argued that Kiewit does not disclose all of the limitations of claim 1 in particular Kiewit is directed to a catastrophic leak detector. In looking at the claim in the broadest interpretation a leak condition would encompass a small leak, a big leak and a catastrophic leak. There is no suggestion in the claim that catastrophic leaks are omitted from being detected. Applicant has argued that Kiewit does not disclose comparing the amplitudes of the respective spectral bands with predetermined values to determine a flow rate. Flow rate is not claimed. Applicant states that Kiewit utilizes particular amplitude as well as a ratio of amplitudes in order to trigger an alarm indicating a high flow rate. The claim is concerned with comparing amplitudes with predetermined values. Kiewit discloses this limitation as stated by the Applicant on page 5 of the amendment "...utilizing a particular amplitude at a particular frequency and the ratio of amplitudes in order to trigger an alarm". The Kiewit invention detects a leak condition, which is what the instant application has claimed.

HEZRON WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800